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## Objective

Use computational modeling, validated by experimental data, as a tool to estimate concentrations of aerosol constituents in several confined spaces where ENDS or combustible cigarettes are used.

## Two Types of Computational Models

Models based on principles similar to those used in the indoor air quality assessment models, referred to by the EPA

## Well-mixed Model

- Total, vapor and particulate concentrations of each constituent in air
- Average values for the entire space as a function of time


## Distributed CFD Model

- Total, vapor and particulate concentrations of each constituent in air
- Spatial and temporal distribution inside the space


## Exhaled Aerosol (ENDS) vs. Cigarette: Model Comparisons

| Define | No. of Occupants/ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Domain | No. of Tobacco Product users |

Frequency of product use (i.e. cig/day, mg liquid/day)


Rate of intake of released constituents by non-users

Total release in time period

Total exposure for nonusers per time period

## (1) Space Settings



Sedan car (3.17m $\left.{ }^{3}\right)^{*}$


* Two cases:
(1) Closed windows
(2) Driver and passenger windows open 3 inches


Restaurant (270 m ${ }^{3}$ )
(2) Number of users and duration of use

|  | Number of <br> occupants | Number of <br> users | Duration of <br> use (hr) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car (closed windows) | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Car (open windows) | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Meeting room | $15^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $3^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 4 |
| Restaurant | $100^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $15^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 2 |

a Maximum capacity: ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality
b $15.1 \%$ of adult population (CDC, 2016)- rounded up for the meeting room.

## (3) Product consumption

Cigarette: 14.1 cigarettes per day per user (CDC 2016)
MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ : 902 mg per day (daily cartridge weight change [in-clinic 16hrs ad libitum use, ALCS, unpublished data])

## (4) Constituents released per unit base

* Side stream deliveries for Kentucky Reference 1R4F

| Constituent | ug per cigarette <br> consumed $^{*}$ <br> side stream) $^{\star}$ | ug exhaled $/ \mathrm{mg}$ <br> consumed $^{\star \star}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Nicotine | 5,600 | 4.22 |
| Formaldehyde | 700 | 0.0083 |
| Glycerin | NA | 162.11 |
| PG | NA | 83.86 |
| Acetaldehyde | 4,200 | BDL |
| Acrolein | 1,300 | BDL |
| Menthol | NA | 0.53 |

Side stream smoke is the primary source of second hand exposure. Contributions from the exhaled smoke are not included here.
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## (5a) Rate of release by all users: cigarette

| Space | Number of <br> occupants | Number <br> of users | Total (all users) release rate ( $\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Nicotine | Formaldehyde | Acetaldehyde | Acrolein |  |
| Meeting Room | $15^{\text {a }}$ | $3^{\text {b }}$ | 16,800 | 2,100 | 12,600 | 3,900 |
| Car <br> (closed windows) | 4 | 2 | 11,200 | 1,400 | 8,400 | 2,600 |
| Car <br> (open windows) | 4 | 2 | 11,200 | 1,400 | 8,400 | 2,600 |
| Bar/restaurant | $100^{\text {a }}$ | 15 | 84,000 | 10,500 | 63,000 | 19,500 |

(5b) Rate of release by all users: MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ e-vapor

| Space | Number of occupants | Number of users | Total (all users) exhaled rate ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Nicotime | Glycerol | PG | Formaldehyde | Menthol |
| Meeting Room | $15^{\text {a }}$ | $3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 714 | 27,418 | 14,183 | 1.4025 | 89.634 |
| Car (closed windows) | 4 | 2 | 476 | 18,278 | 9,456 | 0.925 | 59.756 |
| Car (open windows)* $^{*}$ | 4 | 2 | 476 | 18,278 | 9,456 | 0.925 | 59.756 |
| Bar/restaurant | $100^{\text {a }}$ | 15 | (3,569) | 137,085 | 70,915 | 7.0125 | 448.17 |

$96 \%$ reduction
99.9\% reduction
a. Maximum capacity: ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2004, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality
b. Slightly higher than CDC report cited earlier ( $15.1 \%$ of adult population)- for both cigarette and e-vapor users

## Estimated Non-users Intake

Total intake of nicotine during exposure time by non-users ( $\mu \mathrm{g}$ )

|  | Duration <br> (hour) | Intake $(\mu \mathrm{g})$ <br> (Cigarette) | Intake $(\mu \mathrm{g})$ <br> (MARKTEN® e-vapor) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car (closed windows) | 1 | 50.95 | 2.07 |
| Car (open windows) | 1 | 24.37 | 1.01 |
| Meeting room | 4 | 158.6 | 6.57 |
| Restaurant | 2 | 41.39 | $1.75 \quad 96 \%$ reduction |

Total intake of formaldehyde during exposure time by non-users ( $\mu \mathrm{g}$ )

|  | Duration <br> (hour) | Intake $(\mu \mathrm{g})$ <br> (Cigarette) | Intake $(\mu \mathrm{g})$ <br> (MARKTEN® ${ }^{\text {evapor) }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car (closed windows) | 1 | 6.36 | 0.00408 |
| Car (open windows) | 1 | 3.04 | 0.00199 |
| Meeting room | 4 | 19.83 | 0.01291 |
| Restaurant | 2 | 5.17 | $0.00345) 99.9 \%$ reduction |

## MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ e-vapor vs Cigarette (average concentrations)

## Nicotine



Nicotine concentration in air from MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ e-vapor use is significantly less than cigarette use

* The OSHA PEL refers to the permissible limit of the total average airborne exposure in any 8-hour work shift of a 40hour work week which shall not be exceeded.

Formaldehyde due to exhaled aerosol


Formaldehyde


Formaldehyde concentration in air from MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ e-vapor use is substantially less than cigarette use
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## Nicotine Concentration Distributions Restaurant Example

Average nicotine concentration over time


15 individuals use one cigarette per hour for two hours

- 15 individuals use MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ e-vapor at an equivalent rate of use

Nicotine concentration distribution


- 5 individuals use MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ e-vapor 13

Altria Client Services I Georgios Karles, Managing Director

## Other Constituents

Average propylene glycol concentration in air ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )

|  | Cigarette | MARKTEN $^{\circledR}$ <br> e-vapor | AIHA Limit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car (closed windows) | N/A | 114.74 | 36,0000 |
| Car (open windows) | N/A | 56.09 | 36,0000 |
| Meeting room | N/A | 90.66 | 36,0000 |
| Restaurant | N/A | 48.54 | 36,0000 |

Average glycerin concentration in air ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )

|  | Cigarette | MARKTEN $^{\circledR}$ <br> e-vapor | OSHA PEL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car (closed windows) | N/A | 221.81 | 5,000 |
| Car (open windows) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 108.44 | 5,000 |
| Meeting room | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 175.27 | 5,000 |
| Restaurant | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 93.84 | 5,000 |

$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}=$ Release rate not reported in side stream smoke

## Conclusions

- We have estimated the concentration of constituents in air due to exhaled aerosol from use of the MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ e-vapor and compared with that of using conventional cigarettes and with the permissible limits of OSHA* and AIHA
- Three space settings were used as examples in the study: (1) A car (open and closed windows), (2) a meeting room and (3) a restaurant.
- Results from the computational models show that nicotine and formaldehyde concentrations in air from the use of MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ evapor are significantly less than cigarette under equivalent use conditions.
- PG and glycerin levels in air from MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ e-vapor use were orders of magnitude less than OSHA and AIHA limits in all three spaces that were studied.
- Finally, intake amounts of each constituent by Non-users during the example use of MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ and cigarettes were calculated.
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## Additional Supporting Slides

## Non-user Exposure Characterization Models

## OUTCOMES

## INPUT VARIABLES



Physics-based models that include fluid flow, mass and heat transfers along with thermodynamic and kinetic interactions

## Model Verification and Validation

## Verification



Conditions

- Space volume : $100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- Air change rate : 5 ACH
- Number of occupants in room : 15

Duration: 2 hours

- Rate of release of constituent : $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{hr}$


## Validation (1)



## Conditions

- Space volume : $39 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
- Air change rate (ACH) : 6.8 (runs 2-4), 9.8 (run 1)
- Smoking machine generated aerosol
- Duration: 1 hour
- Rate of release of constituent : 7 puffs (runs 1, 2) and 15 puffs (runs 3, 4)

Room volume 112 m³


## Controlled Clinical Study*:

- 9 individuals-10 puffs every 30 min for 4 hours on a cig-a-like e-vapor product
- 5 s puff duration
- Measured room air levels of selected constituents over 4 hours


## Validation (2)

Modeling vs. Experimental Result


## Average concentration over 4 hours

## Other Constituents

Average acetaldehyde concentration in air ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )

|  | Cigarette | MARKTEN $^{\circledR}$ <br> e-vapor | OSHA PEL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car (closed windows) | 34.12 | 0 | 36,0000 |
| Car (open windows) | 16.32 | 0 | 36,0000 |
| Meeting room | 26.56 | 0 | 36,0000 |
| Restaurant | 13.86 | 0 | 36,0000 |

Average acrolein concentration in air ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ )

|  | Cigarette | MARKTEN $^{\circledR}$ <br> e-vapor | OSHA PEL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car (closed windows) | 106.15 | 0 | 250 |
| Car (open windows) | 50.80 | 0 | 250 |
| Meeting room | 82.63 | 0 | 250 |
| Restaurant | 43.12 | 0 | 250 |


[^0]:    *Guerin et. al., The Chemistry of Environmental Tobacco Smoke: Composition and Measurement, 1992, p56
    ${ }^{* *}$ Edmiston et al. (2018), Exhaled Breath Levels of Selected Constituents From Controlled Use of MARKTEN ${ }^{\circledR}$ e-Vapor Products in Adult e-Vapor Users, Poster 191, February 24, SRNT 2018, Baltimore, MD.

[^1]:    * The OSHA PEL refers to the permissible limit of the total average airborne exposure in any 8-hour work shift of a 40hour work week which shall not be exceeded.

[^2]:    *The OSHA PEL refers to the permissible limit of the total average average airborne exposure in any 8 -hour work shift of a 40-hour work week which shall not be exceeded.

